1 BEFORE THE POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 2 STATE OF WASHINGTON 3 IN THE MATTER OF ERIC HERBERT dba GAYLE 4 APARTMENTS, PCHB No. 399 5 Appellant, FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, 6 CONCLUSION OF LAW vs. AND ORDER 7 PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY, 8 Respondent. 9

THIS MATTER being an appeal of a \$50.00 civil penalty for an alleged smoke emission violation of respondent's Regulation I; having come on regularly for hearing before the Pollution Control Hearings Board on the 8th day of November, 1973, at Seattle, Washington; and appellant Eric Herbert appeared pro se and respondent Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency appearing through its attorney, Keith D. McGoffin; and Board member present at the hearing being Walt Woodward; and the Board having reviewed the transcript, examined exhibits, records and files herein and arguments of counsel and having entered on the 4th day of

4 4 44 42 4 C34 5 12

10

11

12

13

14

15

January, 1974, its proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusion of Law and Order; and the Board having served said proposed Findings, Conclusion and Order upon all parties herein by certified mail, return receipt 3 requested and twenty days having elapsed from said service; and 4 The Board having received no exceptions to said proposed Findings, 5 Conclusion and Order; and the Board being fully advised in the premises; 6 now therefore, 7 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said proposed 8 Findings of Fact, Corclusion of Law and Order, dated the 4th day of 9 January, 1974, and incorporated by this reference herein and attached 10 hereto as Exhibit A, are adopted and hereby entered as the Board's 11 Final Findings of Fact, Conclusion of Law and Order herein. 12 DONE at Lacey, Washington, this 5th day of February 13 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 14 15 16 17 Member 18 19 20 21 2223 24

2

25

1	CERTIFICATION OF MAILING
2	I, LaRene C. Barlin, certify that I mailed copies of the foregoing
3	document on the $5^{\frac{11}{12}}$ day of $\frac{1}{12}$ day of $\frac{1}{12$
4	parties:
5	Mr. Keith D. McGoffin
6	Burkey, Marsico, Rovai & McGoffin
7	818 South Yakıma Avenue Tacoma, Washington 98405
8	Mr. Eric Herbert
9	dba Gayle Apartment 12601 S.E. 61st Street
10	Bellevue, Washington 98004
11	Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency 410 West Harrison Street
12	Seattle, Washington 98119
13	the foregoing being the last known post office addresses of the above-
14	named parties. I further certify that proper postage had been affixed
15	to the envelopes deposited in the U.S. mail.
16	- Ta Rine a Bailin
17	LARENE C. BARLIN POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD
18	
19	
20	
21	•
22	
73	
24	
25	
26	

1 BEFORE THE POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 2 STATE OF WASHINGTON 3 IN THE MATTER OF ERIC HERBERT d.b.a. GAYLE APARTMENTS, 4 Appellant, PCHB No. 399 5 FINDING OF FACT, vs. 6 CONCLUSION AND ORDER PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION 7 CONTROL AGENCY, 8 Respondent. 9

This matter, the appeal of a \$50.00 civil penalty for an alleged smoke emission violation of respondent's Regulation I, came before the Pollution Control Hearings Board (Walt Woodward, presiding officer) at a formal hearing in the Washington Commerce Building, Seattle, Washington, at 1:30 p.m., November 8, 1973.

Appellant appeared pro se, respondent through Keith D. McGoffin. Eugene Barker, Olympia court reporter, recorded the proceedings.

Witnesses were sworn and testified. Exhibits were admitted.

Arguments were made.

EXHIBIT A

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

From testimony heard, exhibits examined, arguments considered and transcript reviewed, the Pollution Control Hearings Board makes this

## FINDING OF FACT

By stipulation it was agreed and arrive Apartments, 12601 S.E. 61st Street, Bellevue, King County, was section 9.03 of respondent's Regulation I on May 16, 1973, when black smoke was emitted from the boiler stack for at least seven consecutive minutes of an opacity of Nos. 3 and 3 1/2 on the Ringelmann Scale. It was agreed that the only issue is the \$50.00 penalty invoked in connection with the above violation by Notice of Civil Penalty No. 892.

From this finding and from arguments made, the Pollution Control Hearings Board comes to this

## CONCLUSION

Appellant contends there should be no civil penalty inasmuch as he is being disciplined for something which could not have been prevented and for which he took prompt remedial action as soon as he knew of the violation. He contends a black oil furnace occassionally can experience a temporary breakdown without knowledge to the operator thereof. He concedes, however, that a monitoring system, which would notify the operator of a violation, is possible.

The Pollution Control Hearings Board finds that although the penalty is not excessive, appellant is entitled to further mitigation.

Therefore, the Pollution Control Hearings Board issues this

25 | FINDING OF FACT, 26 CONCLUSION AND ORDER

1	ORDER
2	The appeal is denied, but Notice of Civil Penalty No. 892 is
3	remanded to respondent for immediate collection from appellant of \$25.00
4	the balance of \$25.00 to be suspended pending no similiar violations
5	for a period of six months from the date this Order becomes final.
6	DONE at Lacey, Washington, this 4th day of Jailing, 197).
7	POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD
8	Na of a
9	WALT WOODWARD, Chairman
10	WALL WOODHARD, Chargean
11	Manley
12	W. A. GISSBERG, Member
13	
14	MARY ELLEN MCCAFFRE, Momber
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	

FINDING OF FACT, CONCLUSION AND ORDER